ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL, & GOVERNANCE REPORTING IN TRAVEL & TOURISM:

3. SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING IN TRAVEL & TOURISM
INTRODUCTION

WTTC monitors sustainability reporting among its membership and the Travel & Tourism sector as a whole. Not only does the prevalence of reporting demonstrate a trend in addressing key sustainability issues; it provides the opportunity for sector-wide data analysis and policy or programme review.

Travel & Tourism has kept pace with the global trend of increased sustainability reporting and use of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) information. Stock exchange regulations, government reporting mandates, customer requests, and internal recognition of its importance have all contributed to this trend. Likewise, enhancements such as aligning with the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), setting bold goals, and evolving reporting formats are found anecdotally among a handful of Travel & Tourism businesses that have a longer history of reporting.

While reporting has increased, the research in this section demonstrates a remaining gap among Travel & Tourism. Reporting is common among its largest publicly traded companies; though the majority of its multinational companies still do not report as many are privately held. Travel & Tourism’s uniqueness as a sector may also explain the current gap, as it encompasses businesses of all size, from Small- and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) to Fortune 500 companies, and everything along the scale in between. Furthermore, the nature of travel itself means that even smaller companies within the sector can have global reach and a wide range of key issues and impacts to address.

Finally, the shifts within the sector in terms of expansion, consolidation, disruption, and newly emerging businesses (and business models) indicate that entities previously not versed in sustainability reporting will need to address it.

The trend of reporting raises a significant question: how much further will reporting in Travel & Tourism increase, and to what limit? Given its nature and structure, it is difficult to place a boundary around who could or should be reporting. Some major travel companies do not report, while other smaller entities report even though they are not publicly listed and do not have corporate travel buyers or governments asking for reporting.
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DEFINING THE TRAVEL & TOURISM UNIVERSE OF SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING

setting the boundary for what entities are to be included within the universe of potential reporters. WTTC uses five industry categories in the analysis. Figure 1 was generated by scanning airlines, hotel management or brand companies, cruise lines, travel agencies/ operators, and global distribution system (GDS), and technology providers at year-end 2016 – each within a determined boundary as outlined in the table – whose main focus is Travel & Tourism. To be counted as a reporter, the entity will have produced at least one sustainability report (generally titled sustainability report or similar) in downloaded PDF form or online, consisting of ten or more pages with quantitative data reported over a period of time. This tabulation only includes subsidiary companies if they currently produce a report separate from their parent brand. This methodology differs slightly from the 2014 report boundaries as follows: 1) the prior parameters for being counted as a reporter also included respondents to just the CDP survey (currently, almost all Travel & Tourism CDP respondents also produce sustainability reports); 2) Travel Agencies and Tour Operators are listed together and with a wider boundary, and 3) it does not include WTTC regional members if they do not meet one of the thresholds in each of the categories.

1 REPORTING PREVALENCE

Figure 1: Travel & Tourism Reporting Universe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDUSTRY SEGMENT</th>
<th>SEGMENT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>UNIVERSE OF POTENTIAL REPORTERS</th>
<th>REPORTERS</th>
<th>% OF UNIVERSE REPORTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airline</td>
<td>Original Bloomberg ESG research listing, removing subsidiaries, adding all non-subsidiary international or large domestic carriers.</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation</td>
<td>Including hotels, resorts, timeshare, fractional ownership, and gaming hotels. Hotel boundary using HOTELS Magazine Top 325 Hotel Companies list, excluding lodging owner operators whose primary business model is real estate investment, asset management, or independent operators (franchises).</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise Line</td>
<td>Original Bloomberg ESG research listing, removing subsidiaries, adding all non-subsidiary regional or river cruise lines</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Agency/ Operator</td>
<td>Travel Seller Boundary using 2016 Power List (&gt;$US300m in sales)</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS</td>
<td>The 5 prominent GDS companies</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Including largest 4 rental car companies, large conglomerates with heavy Travel &amp; Tourism focus or more than one of the 5 categories of industry</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>709</strong></td>
<td><strong>170</strong></td>
<td><strong>24%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Given the consolidation of the cruise industry among these large entities who dominate approximately 77% of all cruise line capacity reporting.

Sustainability reporting within Travel & Tourism has seen considerable uptake in recent years. Reporting is common but not necessarily mainstream. Figure 2 below shows this trend.

Among the universe of potential reporters through 2016, Travel & Tourism had an increase of reporters of 50%, despite the overall universe of potential reporters only growing by 4%. Among the similar universe of 568 companies for the last three years (like-for-like), reporting has increased by 45% since 2014.

Travel agencies and tour operators continue to report the least, with only 16% of the universe reporting through 2016. Airlines continue to report the most, with 35% of the universe reporting.

Figure 2: Sustainability Reporting within Travel & Tourism

Reporting Efforts within Industry Associations and Organisations

Generally speaking, sustainability reporting at an organisational level has not been addressed among Travel & Tourism industry trade associations or groups. Several initiatives do exist that cover components related to reporting such as common performance measurement; specific initiatives, guidance, and commitments to environmental stewardship and social responsibility; and engagement exercises, and these are generally specific to a product or service and not an organisation. For example, the Pacific Asia Travel Association (PATA) has a Sustainability and Social Responsibility Committee that has announced efforts to help members meet disclosure requirements through a Sustainability Index. The Global Business Travel Association (GBTA) released its Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for Managing Corporate Travel in 2012, which included indicators of carbon emissions, termed carbon visibility, as well as comparisons of travel using rail versus air (with rail being environmentally preferable to air travel in their KPI). Then in its 2013 Hotel request for proposal (RFP), 20 questions relating to sustainability were included that range from certification to metrics and data reporting on energy, water, waste, and carbon.

The International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) has developed a carbon calculation methodology and calculator that can be used to calculate carbon emissions from air travel, and this has been supported in policy advocacy through the International Air Transport Association (IATA) for a global sectoral approach to aviation carbon emissions. Similarly in lodging, a group of 25 hotel companies together with the WTTC and International Tourism Partnership (ITP) developed the Hotel Carbon Measurement Initiative (HCM) to publish a freely available, standardised carbon calculation method. Building upon the HCM, 12 hotel companies worked with Cornell University to release a hotel sustainability benchmarking study that provides benchmarks for energy, water, and carbon emissions in hotels worldwide, which is available in an online format called the Hotel Footprint Tool. The Hotel Water Measurement Initiative (HWMI), developed by ITP in partnership with IFG and 18 hotel companies, is a methodology and tool that provides consistency in measuring and reporting on water among hotels. The Global Association of the Exhibition Industry, began a pilot effort to standardise the measurement of an exhibition’s impact in 2016. In these instances, the common methodology and calculations serve the needs of reporting data, as well as other entities using this data in their own reporting.

Where common intersections are found, further guidance on calculation and normalisation is also an opportunity beyond carbon calculation. For example, the term ‘local’ has a wide-ranging calculation and normalisation is also an opportunity beyond carbon calculation. For example, the term ‘local’ has a wide-ranging
Additional Industries and Company Reporters

Tourism organisations. Convention and Visitor Bureaus, Destination Marketing Organisations, and National Tourist Organisations have

Convention and exhibition centres, including the Amsterdam RAI in the Netherlands and Cape Town International Convention Centre

Rail and transit transportation entities such as the East Japan Railway Company, the Hong Kong MTR, the Korea Rail Network Authority,

and Renfe in Spain, which are longstanding reporters.

Concert and exhibition centres, including the Amsterdam RAI in the Netherlands and Cape Town International Convention Centre

in South Africa.

Large corporate, trade show, and association conference event organisers, which publish comprehensive event sustainability reports, including the US Green Building Council’s Greenbuild International Conference, the International AIDS Conference, the American

Chemical Society’s National Meetings & Exhibitions, and Oracle’s OpenWorld, as well as some of the conferences of the United Nations.

Tourism organisations. Convention and Visitor Bureaus, Destination Marketing Organisations, and National Tourist Organisations have

begun reporting, including VisitBritain, Visit Sweden, and Korea Tourism Organization publishing reports.

Major attractions, such as Walt Disney, Sydney Olympic Park Authority and Zoologico de Barraquilla in Colombia.

Service providers, which in addition to ground transport are part of larger companies that report, including restaurant chains.

Report Formats, Content, and Components

Though 170 companies within the universe for the benchmarking exercise had published at least one sustainability report through 2016, the breadth and depth of information varied widely. Among reporters within the universe publishing reports in 2015 or 2016, the length of reports ranged from the shortest report of 10 pages, to the longest of 204 pages, with the average report length of 67 pages. Length is not necessarily an indicator of report quality and a trend is to move away from lengthy reports; however, this is still a common perception. The Bloomberg ESG Disclosure score, for example, is calculated purely based on the amount of information publicly available, and not the performance of the organisation in addressing ESG topics. Furthermore, integrated reporting is often seen within the reporting community as a leading practice, though integrated reports may have less ESG-related content than Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) reports. Most notably, 47 companies produced integrated sustainability and financial reports through 2016 including Accor, Tsogo Sun Group, AirAsia, and Amadeus.

Approximately 10% of Travel & Tourism reporters did not cite a particular current reporting framework for their sustainability reports. For instance, MGM Resorts International and Qantas publish reports but currently do not utilise GRI. Disney, Royal Caribbean, and TUI all have adopted GRI in recent years, but reported in previous years without declaring GRI alignment. And some companies, such as British Airways, had reported using GRI in the past and continue to publish sustainability reports but have since ceased to declare GRI alignment or include a content index. Some companies, such as Starwood Hotels & Resorts (now Marriott International but counted as a separate entity in this benchmarking exercise), have consistently responded to the CDP climate change response but have only recently published their first sustainability report. From an ESG analyst’s point of view (which for the roles and players in the sustainability reporting industry is often a key audience), a GRI content index is an essential component for understanding, evaluating and rating a company’s performance of the organisation in addressing ESG topics. Furthermore, it helps set a general benchmark for the depth and breadth of content needed for a document to be considered sustainability reporting (some companies published two-page corporate social responsibility (CSR) reports, which were not counted in this assessment as reporters).

Figure 3: Prevalence of GRI Use, CDP Responses, and External Verification among Travel & Tourism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use or reference GRI</th>
<th>14%</th>
<th>58%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respond to a CDP response</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Externally verify or assure a report or its data</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extrinsic verification or assurance – which may encompass the entire sustainability report or components of sustainability-related data – has increased across the sector, with over one-third of reporters now assuring or verifying data contained within sustainability reports or integrated reports.

Sustainability Reporting in Travel & Tourism

Additional table with data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Universe of potential reporters</th>
<th>Actual reporters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use or reference GRI</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respond to a CDP response</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Externally verify or assure a report or its data</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition to the basic categorisation, certain groups will be more relevant to some industries than others. For example, port destinations are a common stakeholder group specific to cruise line operators, and gaming boards will be relevant to organisations that operate casinos. Customers may be split out per the varying segments where guests and customers may differ and business units of the organisation may necessitate other groupings, such as franchises and timeshare owners.

In prior research, over half of reporting companies displayed identifiable stakeholder engagement mechanisms (such as surveying, interviewing, and panel discussions) to gain feedback or insight into key topics or report content/quality. This does not imply that the other half do not engage stakeholders; as some form of engagement exists with most stakeholder groups in all organisations (for example, investor calls are a form of engagement). However, the practice of incorporating stakeholder-identified issues into reporting is nascent within many reporting companies.

Several large companies, including Hyatt and Hilton, use materiality analyses to identify critical issues and impacts on their companies. Hyatt Thrive, Hyatt’s CR platform and strategy, identifies the company’s most important issues through an in-depth review of operations and value chain, and interviews with colleagues and stakeholders. Hyatt then publishes issue reports covering environmental stewardship, creating value in our communities, business ethics and human rights, caring for our colleagues, diversity and inclusion, and responsible sourcing, which continue to evolve as Hyatt develops a better understanding of material issues. Hilton’s Travel with Purpose strategy takes into account social and environmental issues that are not only impacted by the company but also critical pieces to success. The materiality assessment was conducted by ranking environmental and social issues based on their importance to business and stakeholders, and also by conducting stakeholder interviews. The issue areas included our people, local community impact, environment, and responsible sourcing, which each have incorporated goals and targets.

Stakeholder engagement for the purposes of sustainability reporting is still evolving in Travel & Tourism. A content analysis study of corporate reporting in the hotel industry in 2016 conducted by UN Environment Programme (UNEP) and the University of Surrey found that most of the stakeholder exercises were consultative for the purpose of informing stakeholders or addressing some level of stakeholder pressure. The study also indicated that use of stakeholder engagement is varied in terms of how information is used to identify key reporting content.

Advances have been made in pinpointing the key ESG issues that Travel & Tourism companies should address within their respective industries and consequently disclose regarding their strategy, programmes, and performance. Historically, GRI called for use of a structured process to define report content according to internal analysis and external stakeholder consultation, which resulted in a materiality matrix of key topics, prioritised by the degree of impact to the business and society. This approach, however, was not prevalent among Travel & Tourism reporters; the majority of which did not include a materiality matrix in the report, or outline a clear process of defining issues that resulted in the information actually being reported. Of those companies that reported a materiality matrix, some recurring topics could be found. In prior analysis of the top ten material aspects among Travel & Tourism reporters with a materiality matrix, the frequency of topics was as such:

Figure 4: 2014 Content Analysis of Commonly Reported Material Topics Among Reporters With a Materiality Matrix

In truth, many organisations began sustainability reporting by using the indicators as a base for defining what information they will report, without fully considering or applying the methodology put forth by GRI. Thus, there is a wider distribution of the indicators found among reports, and just because reporting of certain indicators is not prevalent does not imply the topic is irrelevant. It may be a case of companies either being hesitant to report this type of information, or not having the data capture structure in place, or simply not having considered the topic before within the realm of ESG. Figure 5 shows the most and least commonly reported indicator categories across the sector. The UNEP/Surrey study also indicated that within the hotel industry, sustainability reports generally lacked transparency on the process used to prioritise key issues. This disparity between the ideal process of defining report content according to internal analysis and external stakeholder consultation versus how the report content in practice has been determined is a common gap across all sectors and not unique to Travel & Tourism. Furthermore, as companies within sectors generally have similar key issues and topics, industry and ESG initiatives began to define the common topics.
Assessment and disclosure of risks relating to environmental and social issues are increasingly gaining importance, as risk should be assessed in prioritising topics. The CDP questionnaires perhaps provide the most thorough instance of risk disclosure in voluntary sustainability reporting. In collaboration with CDP in 2014, a benchmarking exercise of Travel & Tourism demonstrated how risks were being addressed.

### Risk Assessment

Assessment and disclosure of risks relating to environmental and social issues are increasingly gaining importance, as risk should be considered in prioritising topics. The CDP questionnaires perhaps provide the most thorough instance of risk disclosure in voluntary sustainability reporting. In collaboration with CDP in 2014, a benchmarking exercise of Travel & Tourism demonstrated how risks were being assessed.

#### Across Sector

- Energy use and conservation, GHG emissions, GHG emissions reduction efforts, Environmental impact mitigation efforts, Workforce data, Approaches to child labour, Climate change risks

#### Tour Operators

- Amount of materials used, Training data, Habitat protection/restoration, Customer satisfaction, Diversity

#### Hotel

- Community assessment, Skills Development and Performance Reviews, Diversity, Supply Chain Engagement

#### Cruise Lines

- Anti-corruption training, Public policy positions, LCA assessments of products/services impacts, Habitat protection/restoration, Employee performance reviews, Collective bargaining, Customer data breach complaints, Waste generation

#### Airlines

- Approaches to compulsory labour, Coverage of benefits, Diversity, Waste generation, Turnover, Collective bargaining

### Less Common, but Emerging

- Biodiversity value of water bodies and habitats affected by run-off and discharge
- Wage ratios versus local minimum wage
- Sources affected by water withdrawal
- Water recycling
- Data privacy

### Examples of Commonly Disclosed Risks and Opportunities within Travel & Tourism

#### Examples of Common Climate Risks Discussed in CDP Responses

- **Tour Operators**
  - Energy Efficiency
  - Mandatory Carbon Reporting
  - Emissions Reporting Obligations, Product Labelling
- **Hotel**
  - Energy Efficiency, Flooding, Mandatory Water Efficiency/Conservation/Recycling/Process Standards, Declining Water Quality, Increased Water Stress or Scarcity
  - Emissions Reporting Obligations, Product Labelling
  - Regulations and Standards, Product Efficiency Regulations and Standards, Carbon Taxes, Fuel/Energy Taxes and Regulations, General Environmental Regulations, Cap and Trade Schemes
- **Cruise Lines**
  - Energy efficiency, Mandatory Carbon Reporting
  - Cap and Trade Schemes, Fuel/Energy Taxes and Regulations, Product Efficiency Regulations and Standards, Emissions Reporting Obligations
- **Airlines**
  - Carbon Tax, Cap and Trade, Investment in Low-Carbon Fuels, Reputational Aspects
  - Cap and Trade Schemes, International Agreements, Emission Reporting Obligations, Fuel/Energy Taxes and Regulations, Product Efficiency Regulations and Standards

#### Examples of Common Climate Opportunity Drivers Identified in CDP Responses

- **Tour Operators**
  - Cap and Trade Schemes, Fuel/Energy Taxes, Regulations
- **Hotel**
  - Emissions Reporting Obligations, Product Labelling
  - Regulations and Standards, Product Efficiency Regulations and Standards, Carbon Taxes, Fuel/Energy Taxes and Regulations, General Environmental Regulations, Cap and Trade Schemes
- **Cruise Lines**
  - Cap and Trade Schemes, Fuel/Energy Taxes and Regulations, Product Efficiency Regulations and Standards, Emissions Reporting Obligations
- **Airlines**
  - Cap and Trade Schemes, International Agreements, Emission Reporting Obligations, Fuel/Energy Taxes and Regulations, Product Efficiency Regulations and Standards

### Understanding the Critical Issues for the Future of Travel & Tourism

WTTC identified a need to strengthen awareness of the key issues affecting the sector and conducted a wide-ranging consultation of sustainability experts and stakeholders. The report Understanding the Critical Issues for the Future of Travel & Tourism outlines 43 issues across 8 different themes:

- Maintaining sustainable destinations in a changing world
- Responsible business practices and leadership
- Shifting innovation drivers in Travel & Tourism
- The evolving labour market and employment practices
- Travel, tourism, and environmental impacts
- Travel, tourism, and health
- Travel, tourism, and human rights
- Travel, tourism, and security

The exercise identified four issues of greatest concern to the sector overall, which fall under the core areas of climate change, destination degradation, and disruption.

### Critical Issues for Travel & Tourism

#### Degradation of ecosystems, biodiversity, and landscapes

The direct contribution of Travel & Tourism and suppliers to degradation in environmentally sensitive areas such as coral reefs, nature reserves, and coastal regions. This can include waste and pollution at resorts, hotel expansion damaging local habitats, insufficient marine protection measures causing damages to reefs. The risk to business is to maintain the quality of the destination, but also reputation and licence to operate; to manage a responsible supply chain, and being prepared to respond to regulation if operations are seen to be detrimental.

#### The impact of climate change on the attractiveness and long-term feasibility of certain destinations

As the largest component of global tourism, coastal destinations are particularly at risk from rising sea levels, flooding, hurricanes, storms, and acidification caused by climate change. Winter sport destinations are also affected by deterioration of snow conditions as a result of increased temperatures, and desertification is affecting areas. The risk to business comes from different consumer demands and the viability of operations. Additionally, tourists may increasingly opt for different destinations, rendering some tourism infrastructure at previously popular locations unused assets.

#### Safety and security preparedness and response

As security emergencies including terrorism, natural disasters, and civil unrest disrupt tourism operations at destinations, Travel & Tourism companies will need to have adequate procedures to respond to emergencies, including implementing procedures to coordinate with all levels of government law enforcement bodies.

#### Reduced travel to destinations affected by public health crises

Travellers avoiding destinations affected by public health crises such as disease, epidemics, and pandemics.

---

12 Prepared using data provided in partnership with CDP.
At the time of this publication’s release, the single largest phenomenon within sustainability reporting is the attempt to commonly define goals and targets as part of reporting, adding to the credibility of a company’s intent. These may be on country-level commitment to a carbon emissions reduction threshold decrease below a baseline year of 1990 levels13. This was followed by a best business practice of setting reduction targets from a baseline. Carbon targets are the most established and frequently occurring, though additional reduction targets for energy, water, and waste are also common. All reporters benchmarked within the Travel & Tourism universe mentioned some form of “goal” being set. However, only a fraction of these companies had set specific, quantifiable targets.

Given that disruption is a core area for the sector, it is also probable that key issues will fluctuate in priority over time, with new issues emerging or further manifesting themselves, and companies will have a continuous need to assess the critical ESG issues affecting business. In terms of structure and reporting, new initiatives will also provide more context and harmonisation, in particular the SDGs as they have the opportunity to match issues and risks with related efforts toward common goals and targets.

Private Sector Commitments

Leading up to and since the Paris climate talks, several initiatives formed to engage business leaders in support of climate action. The Paris Pledge for Action was signed by over 1,300 businesses and public-sector organisations – including NH Hotels, YTL Hotels and Properties, and Club Méditerranée – in support of a universal climate agreement15, which helped strengthen the case for the Paris Agreement that resulted. Similarly, the We Mean Business coalition rallied corporations to commit to at least one of a series of climate actions, of which three involve specific goals16:

- Setting a Science-Based Emissions Reduction Target;
- Committing to 100% of electricity coming from renewable sources; and,
- Committing to remove commodity-driven deforestation from the organisation’s supply chain by 2020.

To date, the only Travel & Tourism organisations committing to 100% renewable energy under this initiative are Gatwick Airport and Heathrow Airport17. A few companies within the sector are, however, setting targets relating to renewable energy.

The Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)18 is a partnership between CDP, UNGC, WWF, and WEM for companies to establish their target of reduced emissions that aligns with the global decarbonisation scenarios put forth by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and related entities. These targets are “science-based” if they are in line with the level of decarbonisation required to keep the global temperature increase below 2°C compared to pre-industrial temperatures19. Using the Sectoral Decarbonization Approach research20, Travel & Tourism industries fall within the following categories, each with their respective decarbonisation path by 2050:

### 4 GOALS AND TARGETS

The use of goals and targets is now a common component of reporting, adding to the credibility of a company’s intent. These may be quantitative or qualitative, with varying uses. Companies reporting according to the GRI standards are to disclose goals and targets as part of their management approach disclosure for the topics reported. Furthermore, the CDP questionnaire includes specific questions on the organisation’s quantitative targets for GHG emissions, renewable energy use, and water reduction.

Setting quantitative reduction targets as a management approach has its mainstream roots in the Kyoto Protocol of 1997, which was based on country-level commitment to a carbon emissions reduction threshold decrease below a baseline year of 1990 levels21. This was followed by a best business practice of setting reduction targets from a baseline. Carbon targets are the most established and frequently occurring, though additional reduction targets for energy, water, and waste are also common. All reporters benchmarked within the Travel & Tourism universe mentioned some form of “goal” being set. However, only a fraction of these companies had set specific, quantifiable targets.

Figure 7: Prevalence of Energy, Water, Waste, and Carbon Targets in Travel & Tourism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Universe</th>
<th>Overall Universe Reporters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Set a GHG Target</td>
<td>11% 46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set an Energy Target</td>
<td>9% 36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set a Water Target</td>
<td>6% 26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most notable within Travel & Tourism is the use of intensity-based reduction targets, rather than absolute reduction targets. Absolute reductions, such as those proposed in the Kyoto Protocol, are outright based on aggregate output. Intensity-based reduction targets are based on a reduction per unit of production, such as square footage, passengers, visitors, room nights, employees, or revenue dollars. Within benchmarked companies, absolute reduction targets in carbon, energy, water, or waste were found in only a handful of companies. Given that Travel & Tourism is expected to grow in contribution to the global economy from 10.2% in 2016 to 11.4% in 203722, aggregate reductions are unlikely to be obtainable for energy, water, and waste, given the expected growth of each company and general business models being based on centres of consumption increasing incrementally by guest and not based on process improvement in manufacturing or extraction. Aggregate reductions in GHG emissions, however, would be attainable in a switch to renewable energy and other low-carbon inputs.

### Outlook on Material Topics in Travel & Tourism

At the time of this publication’s release, the single largest phenomenon within sustainability reporting is the attempt to commonly define the key issues and topics with related specifications on how to disclose risks, management approach, and indicators of performance or scale. This trend holds true for Travel & Tourism as well. Our work undertaken to identify critical issues can be used as a framework for both companies to strengthen their identification of key issues in their respective sustainability reporting efforts and for sustainability experts to gauge the sector’s progress and collaborative efforts to address these issues as disclosed in sustainability reports.

Given that disruption is a core area for the sector, it is also probable that key issues will fluctuate in priority over time, with new issues emerging or further manifesting themselves, and companies will have a continuous need to assess the critical ESG issues affecting business. In terms of structure and reporting, new initiatives will also provide more context and harmonisation, in particular the SDGs as they have the opportunity to match issues and risks with related efforts toward common goals and targets.
Of the 270+ companies that have publicly communicated having set or committing to set a science-based emissions reduction target, a handful represent Travel & Tourism. To date, five Travel & Tourism companies have adopted a science-based target. These companies include Wyndham Worldwide, Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd, and Caesars Entertainment Corp. An additional ten companies plan to adopt a science-based target within the next few years, including Hilton Worldwide, InterContinental Hotels Group, The Inspiring Travel Company, Mandarin Oriental, The Hongkong and Shanghai Hotels, Marriott International, Meliá Hotels International, NH Hotels, Whitbread, and Las Vegas Sands Corp.

**Alignment with the UN SDGs**

In 2015, countries around the world adopted a set of 17 goals to end poverty, protect the planet, and ensure prosperity, all as part of a new sustainable development agenda. The UN SDGs consist of specific targets planned to be achieved by 2030. Although not legally binding, countries across all economic levels are expected to establish national frameworks to achieve the goals by the deadline. The end result of the goals can have a major positive effect on companies by improving their environments and building markets. Companies within the Travel & Tourism sector are putting forward efforts to commit to, or align with the SDGs.

Figure 8: Examples of UN SDGs Being Referenced in Travel & Tourism Sustainability Reporting

**TUI Group**

“In 2016, we reviewed our activities against the goals and intend to use them as a benchmark in future to assess the relevance of our initiatives. Sustainable tourism is specifically mentioned in three of the goals – SDG 8 on decent work and economic growth, SDG 12 on sustainable consumption and production, and SDG 14 on life below water.

“The SDGs were an important factor in the development of the TUI Care Foundation – our primary platform for funding destination initiatives – and will inform our strategy going forward.”

**All Nippon Airways**

“Sustainable Development Goals were approved through a unanimous decision. Based on these goals, the ANA Group will inspect its operations from the perspective of their impact on society during the fiscal year ending March 2017. The findings will be incorporated into the next Medium-Term CSR Policy to guide us in carrying out CSR activities on a global scale.”

**Meliá Hotels International**

“Each of the six pillars (six pillars: Human Rights & Childhood, Employability, Climate Change & Local Development, University & Knowledge, Culture, Leadership & Recognition) that make up the CSR model is defined by clear and specific goals designed to generate value and profits for the companies in which the hotel plays an active role; each one is linked to the 17 SDGs adopted at the Sustainable Development Summit, held in September 2015, at which the UN member states adopted the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development aiming, among other things, to end extreme poverty, combat inequality and injustice, and tackle climate change.”

**Mandarin Oriental Hotel Group**

“The Sustainable Development Goals serve as an important reference point for our Company, as we execute our corporate responsibility policy and programmes.

“Energy, water, health, biodiversity, sustainable cities and other topics that inform our long-term outlook on corporate responsibility are captured within the United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goals and the associated targets for each goal.”

Sustainability reporting is likely to continue increasing among the Travel & Tourism universe of potential reporters and WTTC Members as it becomes mandated on stock exchanges and within the EU in the short term. Initiatives such Science Based Targets, common goals such as the SDGs, and deeper value chain engagement present opportunities to increase sustainability reporting, awareness of sustainable tourism concepts, and real progress. For this to happen, privately held Travel & Tourism companies, as well as smaller entities and even SMEs will need to join in disclosing their approach and performance toward common objectives.